

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/560,291

Applicant(s)

TOUR ET AL

Examiner

RICHARD A. HUHN

Art Unit

1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RICHARD A. HUHN.

(3) _____

(2) Tom Thrash.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-36.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jurs.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Tom Thrash was speaking on behalf of Robert Shaddox. Tom Thrash and Examiner Huhn agreed that a proposed amendment to the claims would overcome the prior art of record. Tom Thrash authorized Examiner Huhn to enter this amendment via Examiner's Amendment. The details of this amendment are set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment and the attached Notice of Allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. ~~See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.~~

/RICHARD A. HUHN/
Examiner, Art Unit 1796